

Election manifesto

Where we are today

The structure of domination in the society of the collective West has fundamentally changed since 2019, with international finance capital usurping absolute power after cannibalising both commercial and industrial capital. In all large and important companies, the three largest so-called asset managers Blackrock, Vanguard and State Street alone already have at least a blocking minority - and behind them there are two dozen others who align themselves with them in order to be able to nibble along. The World Economic Forum (WEF) serves as the coordinating body of international finance capital, whose figurehead and megaphone Klaus Schwab was allowed to communicate in "The Great Reset" how this finance capital envisions an ideal future in their interest. It should own everything, the 95% nothing and their servants a little something. Of course, those who own nothing materially have no other rights. That is not the future we want, that is the future we must prevent.

The US administration, like the EU Commission, and with it almost all the governments of the member states, are led on a tight rein by international finance capital, whereby we have both a Blackrock and a State Street branch in Luxembourg on boulevard J.F. Kennedy at Kirchberg. Just as it was a mistake not to take seriously the proclamations of a certain Adolf Hitler in "Mein Kampf", behind which not only German capital but also international finance capital stood at that time, it is wrong today not to take seriously the proclamations in "The Great Reset".

We have already seen a dismantling of human rights and a synchronisation of published opinion in the Covid-19 plandemic, which is being continued today in the collective West in the Ukraine war. While the plandemic shovelled unimaginable amounts of taxpayers' money to the shareholders of Big Pharma, the same is now happening to the shareholders of the military-industrial complex, and both times the 1% behind international finance capital are rubbing their hands in glee. Moreover, with the inflation triggered by the anti-Russian sanctions and the accompanying energy poverty, which will lead to de-industrialisation and unemployment on a broad front, a rapidly accelerated redistribution from the bottom to the top is taking place.

Where we want to be tomorrow

It is therefore necessary to change course quickly, not only to put a stop to the goings-on, but also to wrest all power from international finance capital with a view to a new solidarity-based society with direct democracy in politics and the economy. This would include an imperative mandate, mandatory reporting by the mandates at regular intervals and upon request, and the possibility to vote them out of office at any time. That would then be real people's rule!

This will probably not be achieved as early as 2023, but we can take the first steps in this direction with the referendum on the initiative of the citizens on a concrete issue that is not connected with the number of signatures to be obtained and the bureaucratic complication of going to the commune (municipal office). The petition signature service must be possible via a platform such as "my guichet" and 5,000 signatures must be enough. The possibility now created by the new constitutional text, which neither we nor the general electorate have approved, of a legislative proposal with 12,500 signatures is, on the other hand, a mere occupational therapy like the internet petitions, of which it was said that it was "not a wish-list concert". What we want, however, is a concert of the electorate's wishes, with which it is possible to take the reins out of the hands of the parliament.

Because there can be no talk of the rule of the people (and that is what the term "democracy" should mean) if citizens are only allowed to put a few crosses in the box every few years and then have no influence whatsoever on what the 60 do at the Rue du Marché-aux-Herbes and the 17 (or how many there will be next time) will do in the government. Certainly, no one voted in 2018 for the abrogation of the rights standardised in the Council of Europe's Convention on Human Rights, but this has taken place with the Covid-19 measures as with the censorship against Russian media! It should be a matter of concern for all of us to ensure that we never have to experience anything like this again.

It is essential to prevent something from being handed in at the international level that does not correspond to the wishes of the people in Luxembourg. A good example of something that must never happen are the current anti-Russian sanctions, which are causing great damage, especially to us, the people in Western Europe. Because Western Europe is a resource-poor area and therefore absolutely needs access to Russian raw materials, and not only in energy matters, in order to maintain prosperity.

Government representatives must be given an imperative mandate so that they know what they can vote for in the EU, the World Health Organisation, NATO or other supranational institutions. In NATO it will have to be clear from the outset that every decision to rearm and go to war must be voted against, right up to Luxembourg's withdrawal from this war alliance. Support for the fascist regime in Kiev must not be


continued with the explanation that Kiev is defending "our values". In view of the fact that in the domain of the Kiev regime all opposition parties and media are banned, that it is forbidden to speak Russian in public, that the Kiev Rada has just negotiated a law to ban the Ukrainian Orthodox Church of the Moscow Patriarchate and that residential buildings, schools and clinics in the Donbass have been shelled every day since 2014, that recently not only opposition members are disappearing, being tortured and murdered, but also prisoners of war are disappearing, that in short all human rights are suspended and Russians are being called inferior, evil beings to be murdered, it is a mockery to say that this regime represents the same values as the EU and Luxembourg.

If this were the case, the EU would no longer be just an alliance of small imperialists who have realised that each of them is no longer strong enough to force any country, e.g. in Africa, to submit to them, and who are therefore trying to do it together. If this is the case, then the EU is no longer just a construct that can be steered by international finance capital in its interests, as we had to experience first in the interests of Big Pharma with Covid-19 and now in the interests of the military-industrial complex in connection with the delivery of weapons and ammunition to Kiev. The EU itself is then on the way to open fascism, whereby the abrogation of the human right to free access to information through anti-Russian censorship was already a first big step.

It is therefore already too late for "BE WEARY OF THE BEGINNINGS". This EU, whose militarisation is enshrined in the Lisbon Treaty, must be thrown on the rubbish heap of history with NATO, so that peace, international understanding and prosperous cooperation with everyone on the Eurasian continent, including the Russians and the Chinese, becomes possible. For the current European NATO and EU member states, the prosperity of their peoples depends on it. It is clear that the sanctions decided in Washington, Brussels, Strasbourg, Paris, Berlin, Luxembourg and elsewhere, but definitely not in Minsk and Moscow, will not bring the Russian economy to its knees, but will de-industrialise Western Europe.

It should not be forgotten that before industrialisation only a quarter of today's population lived in Western Europe because the economy could not feed more. The current policy of the EU and NATO in connection with the climate religion therefore amounts to outright genocide, which we must stop. Whoever wants to return to the Middle Ages not only has far too many food consumers in Western Europe, they should also start breeding horses "en masse"!

Geological Timescale: Concentration of CO₂ and Temperature fluctuations



1- Analysis of the Temperature Oscillations in Geological Eras by Dr. C. R. Scotese © 2002. 2- Ruddiman, W. F. 2001. *Earth's Climate: past and future*. W. H. Freeman & Sons. New York, NY. 3- Mark Pagani et al. *Marked Decline in Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide Concentrations During the Paleocene*. *Science*; Vol. 309, No. 5734; pp. 600-603. 22 July 2005. Corrected on 07 July 2008 (CO₂: Ordovician Period).

GEOLOGICAL TIMESCALE IN MILLIONS OF YEARS

If the truants of "Fridays for Future" and the glue fanatics of "The Last Generation" want to go there, we do not. The CO₂ value of 0.04% is far from being the highest ever on this planet or in any way dangerous for the survival of mankind. The fact is that it has been much higher in the history of the planet, that the average temperature on the planet has also been much higher and that a comparison of the temperature development curve with that of the respective CO₂ value not only in the last 40 years shows that there is no connection between the two curves. There were times when the temperature rose and the proportion of CO₂ in the air fell, and there were times when the temperature fell and the proportion of CO₂ in the air rose (see: <https://magma-magazin.su/2023/01/patrick-moore/der-positive-einfluss-der-menschlichen-co2-emissionen-auf-das-fortbestehendes-lebens-auf-der-erde/>). It is clear that this contradicts the climate religion currently declared sacrosanct. That this is religion and not science, and that the question of the interests behind it arises, is shown by the fact that any argumentation is forbidden.

We have already experienced this with the Covid-19 religion, but here as there, knowledge is not a question of majorities, but a question of better or worse arguments. E.g. the theory "All swans are white" is disproved with the first black swan. In view of the facts from the table above (light-coloured curve: temperature, dark-coloured curve: CO₂ share in the atmosphere) we are not ready to join the climate religion and insist that we are currently just coming out of a cold phase. Climate has never been static on this planet.

There has always been change, and to attribute human influence to it, is religious megalomania. Wherever people are negatively affected by this change, humanity is called upon to help in solidarity. To be able to do this, we must not destroy the basis of our prosperity for the chimera of a reduction of the CO₂ - content, whereby, on top of that, the current one is a precondition for the prosperous growth of all plants and consequently also our and the animals' food. Without the senseless expenditure on resources, it will be easy to provide the necessary solidarity support.

That doesn't make nature protection wrong, but we should get back to it and say goodbye to the talk about climate protection. Because we have no influence whatsoever on the amount of heat our sun sends to us, knowing that in a great many years it will burn up everything on this planet before it becomes a black sun. By then we will have to be able to migrate to another planet in another solar system if we don't want to burn up with it. But there is still a long time until then and none of us will live to see it. Nevertheless, this is a mandate to further develop the productive forces and not to stall senselessly.

Consequently, it is also not acceptable to demonise previous energy sources while no functioning alternative system is yet available, although it remains perfectly reasonable to ensure healthier breathing air in cities and industrial areas. But it is not acceptable to turn off oil, natural gas and coal as long as there is no functioning system from renewable sources. It cannot consist only of water, wind turbines and photovoltaics, because there is no storage medium for the times when too little sun shines and too little wind blows. Hydrogen could become this medium, but such an infrastructure is only in its infancy and will be slowed down if the focus is on so-called green hydrogen. A society without sufficient quantities of energy available at all times will automatically become a deindustrialised society that is approaching the Middle Ages. For the present time differs above all in cheap energy, which is available in large quantities almost unlimitedly, from the state of the horse carriage age, where the only really constantly available energy was that of animals and human muscles. Once again: WE DO NOT WANT TO GO BACK TO THAT! But the current climate and sanctions policies risk dumping us there. Therefore, we need to get out of this system and out of this policy.

So much for the major problems of the present time and the broad lines of how we want to strive to change direction towards a solidarity-based society of lasting peace and prosperity worldwide, because we are certain:

"If the peoples themselves speak first, they will quickly come to an agreement."

For the transitional period on the way to the society we aspire to, it is important to outline the necessary steps in the various policy areas in the short term for the coming parliamentary term.

NATO out - out of NATO

Since NATO has long since ceased to be a peace alliance even in the smallest sense, but constantly demands higher arms expenditure from us, Luxembourg should declare its withdrawal from this aggressive military alliance. Instead of wasting taxpayers' money in the interests of the shareholders of the military-industrial complex, a technical assistance service should be created instead of an armed force. The Luxembourg army is at best of value when deployed against strikers in the country itself, which we in any case do not want to see. On the other hand, it cannot be Luxembourg's task to provide cannon fodder for any operations outside our borders.

However, a technical assistance service is urgently needed in this country, as the floods after heavy rainfalls in recent years have shown more than clearly, whereby this assistance service also has a role to play in prevention. In these, both the servants of the army and those of the NATO military and repair camps can be taken over. A technical assistance service is also a valuable support for the fire brigades in the event of major fires.

A small country like Luxembourg should not run along as a lapdog in a military alliance, but should stand up for peacekeeping with diplomacy and be able to offer itself as a neutral negotiating venue for disputes between states.

Education

Children have a natural thirst for knowledge, which is successfully driven out of them at school. This may turn them into functioning cogs in the interest of international finance capital, but not into happy people who can live freely and self-determined lives. Instead of studies confirming that schools in Luxembourg reinforce the social division into top and bottom, we need to change this with the necessary means. The current ones are definitely

not sufficient for this, and not at any level, whereby systemic issues and programmatic content need to be fundamentally changed. It is totally absurd what is taught in history and economics in this country: Propaganda instead of knowledge of reality!

It is also of no use to carry out a reform with good intentions, such as the change from primary school to elementary school, if then all the good intentions cannot even remotely be translated into reality due to a lack of funds and personnel. There must be an end to cost-cutting measures by means of quotas, and schools must no longer be successful, as they were 50 years ago, only if parents step in as support teachers.

Health care: Prevention is better than the cure

In the health care system, there needs to be a fundamental shift from fighting symptoms with chemicals after the onset of a disease to strengthening the immune system as a preventive measure. Current medicine pretends that this does not exist and spreads the illusion that the same remedy for the same symptom works for every person. However, not every immune system is the same and consequently not every person reacts identically to the same thing.

However, personalised medicine cannot be achieved by computers or A.I. (artificial intelligence), and certainly not by orders from the Collège Médical or the Directorate of Health. It can only function through the patient's conversation with the doctor, and this conversation must not lead to the doctor looking up what the authorities prescribe as a remedy for a corresponding symptom. For it is to be checked how a problem has come about in order to solve the problem. Consequently, there must be no prescription compliance from anyone.

Consequently, the pharmaceutical industry must be prevented from calling on doctors with "consultants" who promise them benefits if they prescribe certain medicines a correspondingly high number of times. No one who has accepted benefits from the pharmaceutical industry should be allowed to sit on any committee. All those punished for disregarding the Covid-19 guidelines of the Health Directorate must be rehabilitated, while the authors of these guidelines must be removed from all boards. The doctor's conversation with the patient must once again become the central element of medical training. Since this is less and less the case internationally, it is all the more necessary to ensure a national full medical education. In this context, alternative methods apart from the administration of chemical preparations must be in the foreground. The "primum non nocere" (first, do no harm) from the Hippocratic Oath must be given absolute priority.

The clinic in Wiltz, including the maternity ward, must be restored as a fully-fledged clinic in the north of the country. In principle, the same medical care must be available throughout the country. It must also be possible to give birth at home or in midwifery structures.

Since the World Health Organisation (WHO) has been turned into a dependency of the pharmaceutical industry by the neo-liberals (80% of its funding now comes directly or indirectly from this sector) and there is no possibility for Luxembourg to have this reversed, the only way to maintain sovereignty in health matters is to withdraw. This is especially true in view of the attempts to turn the WHO into a body which is not only supposed to be able to make recommendations, as has been the case up to now, but which is supposed to be able to issue regulations which have to be followed and which, according to the financiers, logically also serve their profit interests and do not have the health of the people as their goal.

It is clear that no international health organisation and no member of the same can be entitled to immunity for any negative consequences of its activities. This applies to the WHO just as much as to the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation or the Vaccination Alliance it launched.

Labour, Economy & Social Affairs

Currently, the greatest danger for the economy in Luxembourg and in the entire EU comes from the anti-Russian sanctions. The resulting extreme increase in the price and shortage of energy threatens to lead to the deindustrialisation of Western Europe, to mass unemployment and to the loss of our prosperity.

It is therefore a priority to end these sanctions, which harm us but not Russia, and to resume normal friendly relations with Belarus and the Russian Federation. To do this, we must stop being a vassal state of the USA with limited sovereignty.

The economic sector must be democratised, and the socially necessary work must be distributed equally among all, so that no one is left behind and has no prosperous livelihood. The economy must be restructured in such a way that it satisfies the needs of the people, which unfortunately does not happen in many cases with the current control of economic activity by profit expectations alone, because only the financially strong demand is satisfied in this way.

Structures such as the current EU or the World Economic Forum, which serve to secure the absolute power of international finance capital over the economy and politics, must therefore be rejected. Participation in the World Economic Forum must be strictly

forbidden to Luxembourgers, because it is to be regarded as a criminal organisation against ordinary people. In the EU, Luxembourg must seek alliances with other states so that the influence of international finance capital can be pushed back, after which it is unfortunately not possible to leave the EU as a small landlocked country which would easily be suffocated if it left.

Environment and Agriculture

Basically, only organic farming is sustainable because it builds up humus, whereas the use of chemical fertilisers and pesticides, which are actually neurotoxins, degrades humus. Therefore, agricultural policy must be directed towards ensuring the conversion to this sustainable way of farming.

The knowledge that is also available in the Ministry of Agriculture, but is not applied because it contradicts certain interests of the supplier industry, must be helped to break through. This includes the realisation that cows do not need concentrated feed such as corn and soy, as they are physiologically designed to utilise grassland. Clover grass (also called lucerne) keeps them much healthier, much to the chagrin of the pharmaceutical industry and overly enterprising veterinarians.

We also have to get rid of all illusions that grassland can be converted into fields and fields can be used for growing vegetables. These are different types of soil, which is why it is right for each country to cultivate what grows best there on the available soils. Consequently, we will not be able to avoid exporting from one and importing from the other.

The right to housing is a human right

In recent decades, the main problem in Luxembourg has been the insufficient number of construction workers to complete as many flats per year as were in demand. With the exponential growth of jobs, the constantly increasing number of cross-border commuters and the associated traffic problems, the demand for housing increased year by year.

This increased the profits of all those involved, most of whom are closely linked to the national and local political leadership, while those who sought to buy or rent a flat paid the price. While social housing fell into oblivion, so-called "affordable housing" replaced subsidised housing, whereby the latter still led to asset accumulation, but the so-called "ground rent" with the leasehold on the land and the right of first refusal for state

developers or municipalities no longer did. In this case, tenants are literally the last to be bitten by the dogs.

Luxembourg has a good social rental law, even though it is quite old, but there is far too little social rental housing, where the rent depends on income and is low if it is low.

With the anti-Russian sanctions ordered on the EU by the USA came not only energy problems and inflation, but also interest rate increases. In the case of mortgage loans that were not agreed at a fixed interest rate at the time of conclusion, the increase is now 1.5%. With an outstanding loan amount of € 500,000 - and which flat buyer has less, with a house purchase it is certainly even more - that is € 7,500 more that have to be paid per year in addition to the previous interest and the agreed repayment of the capital borrowed. The € 625 more per month will throw many a household off the financial track - even more annoyingly and quickly for those who have more than half a million outstanding.

At the same time, the increased interest rate level, which has not yet reached its highest level under guarantee, will lead to more and more households not getting a loan at all. This already became more difficult last year, because a steadily increasing share as available equity became a precondition for the granting of a loan. Whereas not so long ago a financing of 110% even covered the notary's fees, today a loan applicant must be overjoyed if a bank is willing to give more than two thirds on credit.

This has already slowed down the construction of new flats, because a developer will not build until he has sold at least half of the flats in a project. All of a sudden, we have enough construction workers, but social rental housing by the state and municipalities would be all the more necessary right now. But nothing of this is in sight, there is not even a debate on it, but a new rental law that risks making rents in old buildings significantly more expensive. Instead of limiting the annual rent to 5% of the capital actually invested, the draft law that has now been presented and unanimously approved by the government council provides for a reduction to 3.5%, but of the market value. If it is waved through in the summer before the end of the legislative period, this will reliably lead to a jump in rents for existing properties, even if it may lead to a reduction in prices later, after a collapse of the property market.

This means that those who are no longer able to get a loan will be thrown out of the frying pan into the fire. Because they will then be forced to rent and, with rising rents they will never be able to save what is required today to be creditworthy.

In addition, they will face competition relatively quickly from those who default on their monthly repayments. They will have to get out of what they thought they owned, and the bank will then try to make money out of it.

In the USA, the sub-prime crisis of 2007/8 resulted in a huge housing crisis with a sharp drop in prices, leaving many victims stranded. Even today, 10% of the population in the USA "live" in a trailer or a camping car, while 3% are homeless. Do we have to follow-up on this to prevent this from happening in Luxembourg?

It probably will, unless the EU unexpectedly comes to its senses and the USA and NATO are kicked out of Europe so that the anti-Russian sanctions can be ended and friendly relations with the Russian Federation can be resumed with new political personnel at the helm. But there is not the slightest sign of this happening, and on top of that, the current policy does not want any resumption of Russian coal, gas and oil supplies, as this would run counter to the doctrine of phasing out fossil energy sources.

Therefore, we are in danger of falling into a crashing deindustrialisation, whereby Luxembourg then has the advantage of being able to export the unemployed. But with this, the attractiveness of the country disappears, the population growth turns around, which then also brings the pressure out of the housing market with the dissolution of the traffic jams on the roads. But then we will have completely different problems!

Enough cheap energy for everything/everyone

What distinguishes today's society from the Middle Ages are two things: firstly, the number of available working and riding horses, and secondly, the availability of cheap energy for everyone and everything at all times. Those who question the availability of energy for political reasons such as the anti-Russian sanctions or for pseudo-religious climate protection should therefore breed as many horses as possible as quickly as possible.

Those who do not wish to return to the way of life of the Middle Ages must ensure that energy is available around the clock. Until March 2022, this was wonderfully regulated and secured with electricity, gas, coal, oil and wood in a coordinated system, including reserves. With the anti-Russian sanctions and the acceleration of the phase-out of fossil energy sources, the system is tottering.

Solar and wind power are volatile energy sources whose availability is not around the clock every day of the year. Theoretically, it is conceivable to use hydrogen to stabilise the system, which can be stored and also used in industrial processes that previously used gas, coal or oil. However, the necessary infrastructure still needs to be built, also for import. It is an illusion to supply an industrial society in our latitudes only with locally generated solar and wind power.

However, it is more than foolish to abandon fossil energy sources before an alternative system is in place in terms of infrastructure. This is all the more foolish because the urgency of emitting less CO₂ is not even given, as explained above. It is therefore unacceptable. It is imperative to continue to secure the supply of oil, natural gas, coal and wood with an immediate end to the anti-Russian sanctions, the immediate restart of the Nord Stream pipeline, the Yamal pipeline and the repair of the destroyed three pipelines. The super-dirty and more expensive LNG fracking gas should be rejected, the more toxins are released into the environment in the process of recirculation in the gaseous states.

If this does not happen and the price caps, which are currently being given by the Luxembourg government as an electoral treat, are abolished on 1 January 2024, it will be a catastrophe for households and businesses. After all, the government has admitted that half of the gas costs are currently paid to the suppliers from the state budget. If the anti-Russian sanctions are maintained, this means a doubling of costs for consumers after 2023. This alone should be reason enough not to give a vote to any of those who are currently in the Chamber.

Democracy and Justice

It should be noted that in the current system of bourgeois parliamentarism, the electorate has no influence whatsoever after the election on what happens to the result when the government is formed and what decisions are taken in parliament afterwards. The theoretical possibilities for the electorate to exert influence inscribed in the new constitution are non-existent in practice, since they allow for the introduction of wishes, but leave it up to the MPs to decide whether or not to implement them. Consequently, this is only an apparent influence and therefore at best occupational therapy.

We want to change that. First of all, with the introduction of a referendum initiated by the electorate. This must be possible via the Internet without having to go to the municipal office in person. 5,000 signatures of eligible voters must be sufficient, and if the bill is not accepted by parliament, a referendum must be held, the result of which is decisive if half of the population participates.

The ultimate goal is the introduction of direct democracy in politics and the economy, which has become technically possible thanks to IT tools. Direct democracy must not be limited to politics, because in every society the economy determines what is possible. The power of international finance capital over the economy must be eliminated.

It is clear that a control state is the opposite of democracy, i.e. the rule of the people. We have already made great strides towards a control state with the square-metre recording

of where a smartphone is located and with smart meters that can be used to create movement and presence logs. This must be reversed.

Cash must be preserved at all costs, as this is the only way to ensure anonymous payment without recording where one has been at a certain point in time. Democracy can no longer take place with a transparent citizen; democracy requires transparent conditions in politics and the economy. That is what we have to create!

Transparent conditions must also be created in the judiciary. It must be stopped that the Ministry of Justice has the power to demand the public prosecutor's office to prosecute anyone or to appeal against a judgement.

Mobility for everything/everyone

For ideological reasons, the transport of goods has been transferred from the railways and waterways to the roads, where the hauliers do not pay for the infrastructure, in contrast to the railways and waterways, where at the same time the (state-)owned companies have been pushed back. This is economic nonsense and must be reversed.

As important as it is to have well-functioning public transport, the possibility of private means of transport is essential for a free society. This cannot be limited to bicycles, but must also include long-distance cars, which excludes a compulsory restriction to battery-electric vehicles.

There are currently many shortcomings in local public transport. These range from overcrowded trains and buses to poor connections and early closing times. It cannot continue to be the case that it is still possible to get there by train from a train station after a certain hour, but there is no further access to the next villages.

To solve the problem of commuting to work at a reasonable cost, jobs in the country must be decentralised. It cannot remain the case that 48% of jobs are in the municipality of Luxembourg, 27% in the neighbouring municipalities and only 25% in the rest of the country. Because if it stays like this and everyone has to travel to work in the central region, the traffic problem cannot be solved financially, not even with some teleworking. There will always be traffic jams on the roads and too little space on trains and buses; even a monorail would only be a temporary relief as the number of jobs continues to grow.

The end